

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/662,451	09/14/2000	Raanan Liebermann	99-352 9125		
7590 03/02/2006			EXAMINER		
Barry L Kelmachter			BASHORE, ALAIN L		
Bachman & La	Pointe PC				
Suite 1201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 Chapel Street			1762		
New Haven, CT 06510-2802			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/662,451	LIEBERMANN, RAANAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alain L. Bashore	1762		

	Aldili L. Daslivie	1702	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	=		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecance
(a) They raise new issues that would require further column (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	some spending names or initially rej	octou ciumio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / unonamone	(1.02.02.1).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attack	ned.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration. Description: Descript	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/CD/00 as DTO 4440) Decree	Ja (a)	
12Note-the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	P10/58/08 or P10-1449) Paper I		0
13. Other:		Claw 2 Bo Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner	lhe

Art Unit: 1762

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a PIN number is disclosed in the prior art of record and the manipulation of a security segment is also disclosed. The reason for combining the references do not appear to be obviated by arguments made of record.